UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,625	12/19/2005	Andrei Terechko	NL02 1505 US	8452
65913 NXP, B.V.	7590 03/06/200	EXAMINER		
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			CAO, CHUN	
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA 95131			2115	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/06/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/561,625	TERECHKO ET	AL.			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chun Cao	2115				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Chun Cao</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Brad Barinsky (Reg. No. 58,358)</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>19 February 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	:]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>None</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: None.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The office action mailed 11/26/07 is defective in that it improperly rejected under 102(b)</u> . Accordingly, the action mailed on 11/26/07 is hereby vacated. A new action is forthcoming.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/CHUN CAO/ Examiner's signature, if require	red				
in the contract of the form and the contract of the con	= o oignataro, ii roquii					